

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,900	01/16/2002	Donald P. McGee	088223-9036-01	5836	
23409	7590 02/18/2003				
	BEST & FRIEDRICH	EXAMINER			
	100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			LAM, THANH	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ation No. Applican

M

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/050,900

Applicant(s)

Examiner
Thanh Lam

Art Unit 2834

McGee et al.

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period 1	for Reply	•
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
-	tion of Claims	
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) ∟ 15) □	3 - 33- p	
Attachm	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
	ent(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [] Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10050900 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a vibrator module, classified in class 310, subclass 81.
  - II. Claims 8-23, drawn to a process of depositing parts, classified in class 209, subclass 920.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions group I and group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the group I drawn to vibrator module structure it is clearly distinct from group II that is a process of depositing subject matters.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Daniel Jones on 2/13/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Application/Control Number: 10050900

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 3

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh Lam

February 13, 2003

Wanh lam